

Considerations for Implementing Zero Trust for the Workforce

Moving Beyond VPNs to Ensure Consistent, Secure Access

John Grady | Principal Analyst, Cybersecurity ENTERPRISE STRATEGY GROUP

JULY 2024





TABLE OF CONTENTS







2

The Need for Secure Access Leads to ZTNA





Ensuring Consistent, Secure Access Is More Critical Than Ever

Enterprise environments are much more complex than just a few years ago. The proliferation of apps and devices—coupled with changing work habits and the increasing velocity of business—make ensuring users have secure access to the internal resources they need both more important and more complex than ever.

On average, organizations estimate that \sim 44% of their FTEs are hybrid or remote users, while \sim 27% of the users accessing internal resources are third parties, and ~50% of employees access internal resources from an unmanaged device.

As a result, many organizations have recognized the importance of applying zero-trust principles to the workforce. Specifically, this means connecting users to applications rather than the broader network. In total, 98% of Enterprise Strategy Group research respondents say this practice is important. As attackers continue to exploit traditional VPNs to launch attacks, embracing a zero-trust approach for the workforce becomes even more important.

Importance of directly connecting users to applications rather than to the broader network.



Somewhat important



Neutral





Non-office Users Are Considered the Greatest Risk

offices (15%) as well as contractors or temporary workers (19%).

Conversely, remote and hybrid workers (27%) and other third parties (29%) top the list of the most risky user groups. These groups are most likely to access resources from outside corporate locations and/or use unmanaged devices. These factors put additional stress on traditional secure access approaches.

User groups with the greatest risk.



Many organizations are still trying to adequately address these new workforce realities, a fact evidenced by how our research respondents view different user groups with regard to risk. Respondents considered groups that would be viewed as more traditional as the least risky to the organization, including office workers at headquarters (11%) and remote/branch





Shorten Time and Reduce Costs by Accelerating IT Integration to Enable Business Initiatives

Adding to the complexity is the integration required across identity, applications, and networks to securely provide the right level of access to the right user. The continued migration to cloud as well as merger and acquisition (M&A) activity are specific trends that highlight this issue. More than three-quarters of research respondents agree that these trends drive the need to accelerate integrations.

In the case of M&A, it takes time to fully integrate disparate identity management systems and network architectures. However, key users such as executives, finance teams, and sales personnel may need immediate access to critical systems before this can occur. VPNs and IP-based policies were not designed to effectively or efficiently enable access in these situations.

An aggregation layer that more simply secures access across any identity or application and network location—irrespective of device—can help organizations enable business initiatives quicker and more effectively.

The need for integration.



Cloud migration drives the need to accelerate IT integrations across multiple identity and app locations





M&A activity is driving the need to accelerate IT integration across multiple identity providers and networks









"76% of organizations have or will replace VPN with ZTNA."

ZTNA Plays a Big Role, but VPN Replacement Doesn't Occur Overnight

Zero-trust network access (ZTNA) has gotten tremendous attention in recent years as a way to address many of these issues, reduce the reliance on hardware solutions, and help security teams implement zero trust for their workforce. While only 9% of organizations surveyed say they have replaced VPN with ZTNA, an additional 67% that use ZTNA in some capacity are planning to expand usage away from VPN. In addition to better security, the cloud-delivered architecture ZTNA provides offers better scalability and flexibility compared to hardware-based VPNs.

Finally, while 26% say they do not plan to fully replace their VPN, that may ultimately change over time. Some may use a VPN plus ZTNA architecture for a period and, over time, decide to move forward with full replacement.

How organizations use **ZTNA**

1/0

26% Specific use cases or apps/no plans to replace VPN

50% Specific use cases or apps/plan to expand and replace VPN

Most remote access to move away from VPN

All remote access and have fully replaced VPN

2	1.63.23		4.85.25	COMMENTATION ADDRESS.
	06.01.23	A	15.01.01	KOTTAN DOLLARS HOTTAN
N	** A15.25		man in	ADRESS (CONTRACTOR)
	248.83		100.00	COURSE CATAGONAL COLORING
м	14/01/29	A.	MERCH	NAMES OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIONO
H	1101.23		100.00	Course Party Course
	85.33.23		04.00.00	Manual Colones Particul
м	0.40.71		A 100 100	Strength Lange and Strength
14	16.00.23		000000	inclusion of Annual Annual Street, Str
36	1940.45	2		
26	243.00		and a second	
1	The state of the s		and a	CONTRA POPULATION CONTRA
24		1	Sector .	Political occurrent real race
			CHLD.	COMPANY POPULATION COMPANY







Agentless Solutions Pay Dividends

		44	16.0.3.0		
	41,631204		1125.23		POPTS
	KODE283M	-	05.03.13		PGBM
- (3, and	HOFTHING		11.01.01		KLANN
H(37)	105ME725	19	4.0175		1000
(a),82	AL K (1994	38			-
PC.124	CONTRACT	24	102.43	A	PLAT.
12,000	10071020	-11	05.03.23		C KODE
14,17		24	11.05.23		
13,000	CONSIN .				
the second se					1.101
			54105.22		COMP
11.11	COURT		043.22		-
	ICCHOM04	24	10.03.73		
	PERTY 65	.94			(CD%)
	CONDIN	24	1000	A.	KENDA
13,000	NUMBER	36	9423.02		KOD62
11.00	COMPARE NO.	34	1103.33		POFT
LX. 000	ACCULATION OF		18.03.23		10042
P4, 825		34	1545.25		
UX, HIM	COMPANY.				
					1.000
		-	14.03.75	÷	KL/GI
TY. Dia	SLATION.				ICD62
LS mail	10080494	34	and	A.	POFT
	REALINESS .	*	(6.0.1)		KOD62
	ICONIMIE.	24	945.03		10042
	LOOKING .	. 34	1585.83		0.00
10, mm	ALC ADDRESS	.14	14303-23		
TC S	(CONTRACT)	24	168329		00%
UA.com	Constraints.	-	18.03.03	×	
		-	345.23		
LX.COM	(CONTRACT)	1.50	10.003.00		
11,16	PORTON IN				
PL 39K	N_ACCOUNT.				
LA AMA	ICCNEDNINE.	34	20115		
and the second se	195971838	*	98.03.23		
	(CONTRACT)	- 34	943.07		
	at homes	34	1423.29		
	000000		141.17		
	and and a second		10.01.22		
	. rentered	121	1000.00		
	COLUMN A				
	CONCERN.		NAME OF T		
	0,00004		1410.23		
	COMMON NO.	24	TAXABL		
	HITTAN	*	66.03.23		
	(CONTRACT)	24	1473.25		
	PERMIT		110.539		
			14.01.01		
		74			
	100000				



Strong Agreement That Agentless ZTNA Accelerates Zero-trust Adoption

Nearly three-quarters (71%) of respondents say that their current ZTNA tools support agentless deployment. More importantly, these organizations are seeing important benefits due to their agentless architecture. Perhaps most importantly, 84% said their agentless ZTNA deployment helped them significantly accelerate zero-trust adoption. This is critical because the faster zero trust is implemented, the more quickly risk can be reduced and value realized, building momentum to expand the initiative. Further, 85% of respondents noted that agentless ZTNA effectively addresses the use cases, users, and applications they want to cover, meaning they are able to expand coverage without deploying additional tools that use agents.

Benefits realized from agentless deployment.



reduced admin burden & potential points of failure



Significantly accelerated zero-trust adoption



Easier scalability by eliminating individual agent installs on every device



Effectively meets our use cases and scale for desired number of users and apps



A Phased Approach Is Required



Taking a Phased Approach to Zero Trust for Workforce Implementation



The breadth of types of users, applications, and ZTNA functionality makes implementing zero trust for the workforce a journey best broken down into phases. While every organization and implementation is different, the high-level structure of each phase should remain roughly the same.

- these before a broader rollout.
- taking advantage of additional features or capabilities.
- capabilities being used, and/or the project now meeting the overall goals established during planning.

On average, respondents expected it to take roughly 11 months to complete Phase 1, 15 months to complete Phase 2, and 16 months to complete Phase 3. It is important to note that many of these respondents were sharing their expectations with Phases 2 and 3, not experiences. These perceptions might be based on the use of first-generation ZTNA tools from over four years ago, before the pandemic, that did not prioritize scalability and expansion. As noted earlier, agentless ZTNA solutions can accelerate adoption and help organizations move through these phases more quickly.

• Phase 1, or "Initial Rollout," would typically support a limited set of use cases and/or functionality, with the organization identifying the key priorities it wants to solve for and address

• In Phase 2, or "Expansion," coverage is expanded, which could mean rolling availability out to a broader set of employees, increasing coverage across a wider set of applications, or

• In Phase 3, or "Advancement," the initiative continues to advance, which could mean becoming broadly deployed with coverage across most employees and applications, advanced



Top Focus Areas for Phase 1

Phase 1 is arguably the most important in this process. Organizations should be focused not only on their top priorities, but also where time to value is low to help build momentum and consensus to keep the project moving forward. While starting small is important, on average, respondents indicate they will include 25% of their applications and users in Phase 1. This will grow to 38% in Phase 2 and 52% in Phase 3.

From a user perspective, sales, IT, and C-level executives are the most common focus in Phase 1. Meanwhile ERP, communication and collaboration, and file storage and sharing are the most common applications covered. This does not necessarily mean every organization should start with these users and applications, but it does provide a view into the areas many are identifying and seeing success with.

Finally, the fact that, on average, organizations expect to cover 52% of users and applications in Phase 3 again reflects where the market is overall. Very few organizations have fully replaced their VPNs at this point and may find it difficult to visualize that milestone. And many may have reached phase 3 before the pandemic increased the percentage of users and apps where remote access is applicable. Identifying vendors that have helped customers achieve this end-state can help generate buy-in on the process and final goals.

Average percentage of users and apps covered by phase.





How Organizations Prioritize Types of Applications, User Groups, and Capabilities



Project Focus by Adoption Stage

Initial Rollout	Expansion	Advancement
 Enforce ZTAA for SaaS apps ZTNA for private apps Address specific use cases Unify ZTNA, SWG, CASB, etc. Replace VPN fully 	 Address specific use cases ZTNA for private apps Unify ZTNA, SWG, CASB, etc. Replace VPN fully Enforce ZTAA for SaaS apps 	 1. Replace VPN fully 2. Enforce ZTAA for SaaS apps 3. Unify ZTNA, SWG, CASB, etc. 4. ZTNA for private apps 5. Address specific use cases

ZTNA for private apps is the #1 priority for public sector organizations.

Overall, most organizations start with a specific application focus with either zero-trust application access (ZTAA) for SaaS applications or ZTNA for private applications, expand to additional use cases, and then mature toward full VPN replacement and broader SASE/SSE implementations. It should be noted that while ZTNA is often associated with private applications, SaaS applications were the top priority in Phase 1. Zero trust can mean different things to different people, and some may consider tools such as secure web gateway (SWG) or cloud access security broker (CASB) implementing identity- and context-based access controls to SaaS applications as zero trust. But consistency is critical, which makes it important to consider vendors that can unify and reuse zero-trust access policies across any type of application.

Also noteworthy is the fact that traditional organizations (i.e., not digital natives) were more likely to unify ZTNA, SWG, and CASB in earlier stages than their counterparts. This might be because they are less likely to have migrated tools to the cloud and look at this as an opportunity to start that process or because they have acquired more individual tools and recognize this can slow down their adoption of zero trust.

14

Capability Focus by Adoption Stage

= Average number of capabilities added in each stage

	Initial Rollout	Expansion		Advancement		
Digital-native Orgs	 MFA Device posture checks UEBA (user risk scores) DLP Data control policies Geolocation/time of day 	1.1	 DLP Data control policies Geolocation/time of day MFA UEBA (user risk scores) Device posture checks 	2.1	 Device posture checks Geolocation/time of day Data control policies UEBA (user risk scores) DLP MFA 	2.4
Public Sector Orgs	 Device posture checks Geolocation/time of day UEBA (user risk scores) DLP Data control policies MFA 	1.1	 MFA Geolocation/time of day Device posture checks Data control policies UEBA (user risk scores) DLP 	2.6	 Device posture checks Geolocation/time of day Data control policies UEBA (user risk scores) DLP MFA 	2.2

Zero trust for the workforce requires solution capabilities that organizations have not traditionally used. Just as with users or applications, security teams must consider the best order in which to implement capabilities. On average, respondents have or will add 1.4 capabilities in the Initial Rollout phase, 2.4 in the Expansion phase, and 2.1 during Advancement. While there are differences based on the type of organization (in this case, digital-native and public sector), more fundamental capabilities such as multifactor authentication and device posture checks are prioritized early on, while data loss prevention (DLP) and other data control functionality are added in later phases.



Application Types by Adoption Stage

Initial Rollout	Expansion	Advancement
 ERP Communication and collaboration File storage and sharing Sales enablement IT operations IT operations HRM Development and collaboration Project management CRM Financial management DevOps CI/CD workflows 	 2.3 1. CRM 2. Financial management 2. DevOps CI/CD workflows 4. Development and collaboration 5. HRM 6. Project management 7. IT operations 8. ERP 8. File storage and sharing 10. Sales enablement 11. Communication and collaboration 	 A 1. Communication and collaboration 2. DevOps CI/CD workflows 3. Project management 4. File storage and sharing 5. IT operations 5. Sales enablement 7. Financial management 7. Development and collaboration 7. HRM 10. CRM 11. ERP

Project management was the #1 application for public sector organizations.

There are a variety of application types organizations must consider on their zero-trust journey. A list with examples of each is available in Appendix II. On average, respondents expect to address 2.3 application types in Stage 1; 4.4 in Stage 2; and 3.9 in Stage 3. Among respondents, business-critical and widely used applications such as ERP, communications and collaboration, and file storage and sharing applications are most common during the Initial Rollout phase. They then expanded to applications such as CRM, financial management, and application development in Phase 2.

There were nuances across geography and organization type as well. In the UK, CRM and file storage and sharing did not enter the top five application types until the Advancement phase. While in the public sector, project management was the #1 application during Initial Rollout. It should be emphasized that this list does not imply an aggregate stack-ranking that should be adopted by most organizations, but that—as IT teams plan out their journey for which apps to take off the VPN—they should consider application ownership and other structural nuances across the organization.

= Average number of application types added in each stage



Employee Groups by Adoption Stage

Initial Rollout		Expansion	Advancement
 Sales IT C-suite R&D Teams Information security App dev & software engineering Legal/compliance Marketing Finance and accounting Manufacturing/logistics 	2	 3.8 1. Legal/compliance 2. Manufacturing/logistics 2. Marketing 4. R&D Teams 5. App dev & software engineering 6. Sales 6. C-suite 8. Finance and accounting 9. IT 10. Information security 	 3.7 1. Information security 2. Finance and accounting 3. IT 4. App dev & software engineering 5. Marketing 5. C-suite 7. R&D Teams 8. Sales 8. Manufacturing/logistics 10. Legal/compliance

Marketing was the #1 employee group for digital-native organizations.

With regard to user groups, respondents, on average, expect to address 2 user groups during Initial Rollout, 3.8 during Expansion, and 3.7 during the Advancement phase. Many of the groups prioritized have access to applications with sensitive internal or customer information, making zero-trust access a priority. Sales, IT, and executive teams can all be targeted by phishing and other threats as attackers seek to gain initial entry, making their focus in Phase 1 logical. The groups prioritized during Expansion (legal, manufacturing, and R&D teams) often have access to applications with sensitive proprietary information. Digital-native respondents were a bit different, with marketing taking the #1 spot in the Initial Rollout phase. Again, each organization is different and should prioritize based on their own needs, with our respondents showing what that order could look like.

= Average number of employee groups added in each stage



User Personas by Adoption Stage

Initial Rollout	
1. Developers or IT admins with privileged system access	1. Employees on unm
2. Contractors or third parties on unmanaged devices	2. Contractors or third
3. Contractors or third parties on managed devices	3. Developers or IT ad
4. Employees on unmanaged devices	4. Contractors or third

Contractors on unmanaged devices was the #1 user type for digital-native organizations.

Organizations also must consider the riskiest user personas, including third parties and employees on unmanaged devices. But at the top of the list among our respondents were developers or IT admins with privileged access. This makes sense, as these users are more likely to be using SSH, RDP, or other protocols—which grant a much deeper level of system access—as well as the secret management risks that come with these roles. When unmanaged devices come into play, provisioning VPN client software can lengthen onboarding and still leave the organization open to risk. Similarly, adding third-party users to identify providers requires extra work that takes time. In all these areas, agentless ZTNA solutions can simultaneously help reduce risk and shorten the time needed to provide secure access to these user personas.

Expansion	Advancement
anaged devices	1. Contractors or third parties on unmanaged device
parties on managed devices	2. Contractors or third parties on managed devices
mins with privileged system access	3. Developers or IT admins with privileged system
parties on unmanaged devices	4. Employees on unmanaged devices





Conclusion

Organizations have high expectations when it comes to implementing zero trust. Reducing incidents and data breaches as well as improving the efficiency of security operation centers rate highly, but reducing costs and improving employee productivity and satisfaction are also top of mind. Organizations clearly need solutions that can deliver these benefits—strong security and a seamless experience for users—but they must focus on shortening time to value through risk and cost reduction. Agentless ZTNA solutions provide this by helping security teams more efficiently and effectively roll out coverage across different users and applications. Further, organizations seeking to implement zero trust for the workforce should consider vendors that can help prioritize the projects, capabilities, application types, employee groups, and user types to focus on in each phase.



Cloudflare is a unified, intelligent platform of programmable cloud-native services that delivers unmatched security to protect people, apps, and networks, enabling organizations to regain control, lower costs, and reduce the risks of securing an expanded network environment.

LEARN MORE



APPENDIX I: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

To gather data for this report, Cloudflare commissioned Enterprise Strategy Group to conduct a comprehensive online survey of 200 senior IT security decision-makers knowledgeable about their organization's approach to securing user access to corporate applications and resources. Organizations represented span traditional, digital-native, and public-sector organizations across North America (U.S. and Canada), and Europe (United Kingdom). The survey was fielded between March 6, 2024, and April 3, 2024.

All respondents were offered an incentive to complete the survey in the form of cash awards and/or cash equivalents. Note: Totals in figures and tables throughout this report may not add up to 100% due to rounding, and the margin of error for this sample size at the 95% confidence level is + or - 7 percentage points.

The following figures detail the demographics and firmographics of the respondent base.





Security/security operations management, 48%

APPENDIX II: GLOSSARY OF APPLICATION TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Communication and collaboration

- Microsoft 365
- Slack

CRM

- Hubspot
- Salesforce

ERP

- Infor
- SAP

Development and collaboration

- Asana
- Trello

DevOps CI/CD workflows

- Jenkins
- Jira

Financial management

- ExpensifyQuickBooks

File storage and sharing

- Box
- Dropbox

HRM

- Insperity
- Workday

IT operations

- ServiceNow
- Zendesk

Project management

- Monday
- Smartsheet

Sales enablement

- Highspot
- Seismic

All product names, logos, brands, and trademarks are the property of their respective owners. Information contained in this publication has been obtained by sources TechTarget, Inc. considers to be reliable but is not warranted by TechTar This publication may contain opinions of TechTarget, Inc., which are subject to change. This publication may include forecasts, projections, and other predictive statements that represent TechTarget, Inc.'s assumptions and expectations in currently available information. These forecasts are based on industry trends and involve variables and uncertainties. Consequently, TechTarget, Inc. makes no warranty as to the accuracy of specific forecasts, projections or predictive state contained herein.

This publication is copyrighted by TechTarget, Inc. Any reproduction or redistribution of this publication, in whole or in part, whether in hard-copy format, electronically, or otherwise to persons not authorized to receive it, without the express consent of TechTarget, Inc., is in violation of U.S. copyright law and will be subject to an action for civil damages and, if applicable, criminal prosecution. Should you have any questions, please contact Client Relations at cr@esg-global.com.



Enterprise Strategy Group is an integrated technology analysis, research, and strategy firm providing market intelligence, actionable insight, and go-to-market content services to the global technology community.

© 2024 TechTarget, Inc. All Rights Reserved

get, Inc. light of ements